IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA

RODERICK COOPER DON MARTIN # 18		
Full name and priso plaintiff(s)	n number of	
V. LOUIS BOYD (DEP	JIY WARDEN)) CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:05cv 982) (To be supplied by the Clerk of the U.S. District Court)
ARNOLD HOLT (AC	TIVE WARDEN)	
SYLVESTER NETTL	ES (CAPTAIN)))
DONAL CAMPB Name of person(s) v your constitutional r (List the names of a	ights. Il the persons	
A. Have same	you begun ot or similar fact	her lawsuits in state or federal court dealing with the s involved in this action? Yes () No (**)
B. Have impriso	you begun ot onment?	ther lawsuits in state or federal court relating to your Yes (*) No ()
et en		toliko koza a neminista en en televiro distributoria so zina pelikako. Pro Berliko en en en delitora den en estas, dorante de la edeko. Delitor Preside delitoria,
		N/A -
		emi suum mane me aleman if alebe aaun, neme me

		3.	Docket No
	•	4.	Name of Judge to whom case was assigned
		5.	Disposition (for example: Was the case dismissed? Was it appealed? Is it still pending?
		6.	Approximate date of filing lawsuit
	A	7.	Approximate date of disposition
II.			PRESENT CONFINEMENT LIMESTONE CORRECTIONAL FACILITY DAVID ROAD HARVEST, ALABAMA 35749 - 7009
FAC			INSTITUTION WHERE INCIDENT OCCURRED BULLOCK COUNTY CORRECTIONA 2 FAST UNION SPRINGS AL. 36089
111.			ADDRESS OF INDIVIDUAL(S) YOU ALLEGE VIOLATED YOUR TIONAL RIGHTS.
			NAME ADDRESS
	1.		DUIS BOYD P.O. BOX 5107 UNION SPRINGS, AL. 36089
	2.	AF	RNOLD HOLT P.O. BOX 5107 UNION SPRINGS, AL. 36089
	3.	SY	TIVESTER NETTLES P.O. BOX 5107 UNION SPRINGS, AL 36089
	4	DO	NAL CAMPBELL 1400 Lloyd Street MONTGOMERY, AL 36107
	y Tu Turk y	and a gradual of the second of	
		una partica de trada hapagante filo	
·	ing the second of the second o		AUGUST, 18, 2004
. '		en en deur gran i de Servicione de Servicione de Servicione de Servicione Servicione de Servicione	RELET THE DECLARD SERVER DE MELLETANTE ELLE ALLECASIAN. POUR SE TUTTO AL FERRE FAMILIANO MADAREIL
	See See See	and the top	*) =- RACIAL DISCRIMINATION

	ATTACHED SHEETS -
ROUND TWO:	EQUAL PROTECTION (14th AMENDMENT)
UPPORTING FACTS: _	
ROUND THREE:	
UPPORTING FACTS: _	
	LY WHAT YOU WANT THE COURT TO DO FOR
	IMENT. CITE NO CASES OR STATUTES.
TO ALLOW US TO COME I	s Court to enter an injunction ORDERING THE WA BACK TO BULLOCK CORRECTIONAL FACILITY TO FINIS SENTENCES AND TO BE CLOSER TO HOME.
TO ALLOW US TO COME I	BACK TO BULLOCK CORRECTIONAL FACILITY TO FINIS
TO ALLOW US TO COME THE REMAINDER OF OUR	BACK TO BULLOCK CORRECTIONAL FACILITY TO FINIS SENTENCES AND TO BE CLOSER TO HOME. Robert h Corpor Don Martin
TO ALLOW US TO COME THE REMAINDER OF OUR	BACK TO BULLOCK CORRECTIONAL FACILITY TO FINIS SENTENCES AND TO BE CLOSER TO HOME. Robert h Corpor Don Martin

GROUND #1

DISCRIMINATION

On or about the 18, of August the plaintiffs in the above lawsuit was transferred to Limestone Correctional Facility, in Capshaw, Harvest, Alabama over 289 miles away from the current facilty that they were house for the alleged reason (s) of being drug dealers inside of the institution although none of the plaintiffs had actually been caught with any drugs, nor drug paraphernalia, nor any other other prison contraband that would lead the Prison Administration to believe that they ever were in fact dealing drugs inside of the institution. But because the plaintiffs resided in the community that was nonthe-less 10 minutes or less from the institution in which they were housed, all drug activity was assumed to have been transacted by and through the plaintiffs because they were black and all were [from] high drug and crime areas in their neighborhoods. Captain C. Nettles questioned all of the plaintiffs and relayed the message from the warden(s) that they were the only black guys with connection(s) with their officers that could have drugs bought into the Institution. The plaintiffs was never afforded a opportunity to try and disprove, the facts supposedly that was presented to the administration by a (anonymous) source from within the institution. Nonetheless the plaintiffs were placed not on notice of transfer, and one was placed inadministration segregation for (90) days until he gave up his horse, or the person who was bringing the drugs into the Institution to them thus this lawsuit.

GROUND#2

EOUAL PROTECTION OF LAW 14th Amend.

The plaintiffs alleges that they were further transferred and harassed by the prison administration because some of the officer in which were employed at the Bullock Correctional Facility had grew up, and gone to school with the said plaintiffs.Plaintiff(s) explained to the administration that they were not at all trying to cause any problems, being that they were close to home and could see their families, and that they wanted to get out of prison, and that regardless of wheter or not that they knew the officers personally, or formally that they (the plaintiffs) had not been involved in anything illegal in the time that they had ben incarcerated. Administration insisted that the plaintiffs were to familiar with the officers and palced that into the plaintiffs prison files and thus transferred them far away from home because they would not supposedly tell the authorities who was bringing in the drugs. Plaintiffs further explained to the Captain . Assistance Warden, and Warden that at every institution in the state had officers and inmates that knew each other from the streets and had some type of relationship from the streets and that it was unfair to transfer them just because they knew most of the officers that worked in the facility, thus this violated the palintiffs Equal Protection Rights because if that was not the case then there would have to be transfers done statewide because nobody would want to be far from home because they knew some of the officers that worked in the institution in which they were housed.